

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/370,770		08/09/1999	JUSTIN CHE-I CHUANG	2685/5259	8481
26652	7590	06/26/2003			
AT&T CO			EXAMINER		
	P.O. BOX 4110 MIDDLETOWN, NJ 07748			LUGO, DAVID B	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2634	17
				DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003	ℓ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		09/370,770	CHUANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David B. Lugo	2634				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1					
Period for Reply							
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing indicated patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	A <i>pril 2003</i> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) $1-19$ is/are pending in the application	ı .					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 16-19</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
l ' <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 April 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
1	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)l	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
I `) \square The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment	t(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 10				

Application/Control Number: 09/370,770

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The substitute figure received on 4/15/03 is acceptable.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see page 7, third paragraph to page 8, filed 4/15/03, with respect to the rejection of claim 13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and claims 14 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Furuskär et al.
- 5. Regarding claim 13, Furuskär et al. teach the calculation of threshold values for each of at least one link adaptation modes where a performance criteria function is calculated using at least one parameter (p. 1285, equation 1), and for each link adaptation mode in Table 1, a range of signal quality values for which the performance criteria function is maximized is determined (see p. 1285, left hand column, final paragraph, Fig. 1), where the environment is considered a retransmission environment as retransmissions are performed as necessary (see p. 1285, left hand column, section B).

Application/Control Number: 09/370,770

Art Unit: 2634

は 100mm 10

6. Regarding claim 14, the performance criteria function is a throughput function, S_n, dependent upon a radio interference rate, R, and a block error rate value, BLER_n.

7. Regarding claim 15, the signal quality value is a function of a signal to interference ratio (C/I).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-12 and 16-19 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **David B. Lugo** whose telephone number is (703) 305-0954.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Stephen Chin**, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

dbl 6/20/03

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEF
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600